



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,817	06/26/2003	Daniel William Moffatt	14716.01	5499

7590 06/28/2005

Sean D. Solberg
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
Intellectual Property Department
50 South Sixth Street, Suite 1500
Minneapolis, MN 55402-1498

EXAMINER

FLETCHER, MARLON T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2837	

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AK

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/606,817

Applicant(s)

MOFFATT ET AL.

Examiner

Marlon T. Fletcher

Art Unit

2837

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 June 2003.
 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12/04/2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because figures 1 and 1A, are not textually labeled. Boxes in the figures should be textually labeled (eg. box 100 should be labeled voltage converter. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

2. Claims 1-6,10-12, 16-19, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Comair et al. (2003/0037664).

As recited in claims 1 and 16, Comair et al. disclose an interactive music Apparatus comprising: at least one actuator (52) configured to transmit a signal upon actuation; a voltage converter operably coupled to the at least one actuator, the voltage converter configured to convert the signal from the actuator into a data stream (page 3, paragraph 38; and pg 4, para. 41 and 47); a processing computer (114) configured to receive the data stream from the voltage converter, the processing computer configured to convert the data stream into a first output signal and a second output signal (pg 4, para. 47; and figure 3B); a speaker (61L/61R) configured to receive the first output signal and emit sound based on the first output signal; and an output component (56), the output component configured to receive the second output signal and perform an action based on the second output signal.

As recited in claim 2, Comair et al. disclose the apparatus, wherein the sound and the action are interactive (abstract).

As recited in claims 3, 17, and 19, Comair et al. disclose the apparatus, wherein the output component comprises a web browser and a display monitor and the action comprises launching the web browser and displaying the browser on the display monitor (pg 4, para. 50-52), wherein uploading and downloading require a browser.

As recited in claims 4 and 18, Comair et al. disclose the apparatus wherein the action further comprises displaying an image on the browser (figs. 1A, 1B, and 3B).

As recited in claim 5, Comair et al. disclose the apparatus, wherein the action further comprises displaying sheet music on the browser (pg 2, para. 28; and pg 5, para. 670, wherein music can be written.

As recited in claim 6, Comair et al. disclose the apparatus, wherein the action further comprises displaying text on the browser (figs 1A and 1B).

As recited in claims 10 and 11, Comair et al. disclose the apparatus, further comprising a MIDI sound card operably coupled to the processing computer, the Midi sound card configured to receive the first output signal; further comprising a Midi sound module operably coupled to the Midi sound card, the MIDI sound module configured to receive the first output signal from the sound card, process the first output signal, and transmit the output signal to the processing computer (pg 2, para. 29 and pg 5, para. 67; and figure 3B).

As recited in claim 12, Comair et al. disclose the apparatus, further comprising a wireless transmitter operably coupled to the at least one actuator and a wireless receiver operably coupled to the voltage converter, the wireless transmitter configured to transmit wireless signals to the wireless receiver (pg 4, para. 41).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Comair et al. in view of Georges et al. (2004/0089142).

Comair et al. are discussed above. Comair et al. do not disclose displaying a keyboard on a monitor or displaying a music score.

However, Georges et al. (claims 7 and 8) disclose an apparatus, wherein the output component comprises a display monitor and the action further comprises displaying a keyboard on the display monitor (figure 18) and wherein output component comprises a display monitor and the action further comprises displaying a music staff on the display monitor (figure 21).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Georges et al., with the teachings of Comair et al., because Comair et al. provide displaying wherein the displaying could be written audio or a score, and Georges et al. provides both the structure display of a keyboard and the staff.

5. Claims 9, 13-15 and 20, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Comair et al. in view of Airaudi et al. (6,743,164).

Comair et al. are discussed above. Comair et al. disclose an interactive music apparatus comprising: at least one actuator; a wireless transmitter and a wireless receiver; a voltage converter; a processing computer; a speaker; a display monitor (56) configured to receive the second output signal and display an image based on the second output signal, the image configured to be interactive with the sound; and a web browser. As recited in claims 14 and 15, Comair et al. disclose the apparatus, further comprising a MIDI sound card operably coupled to the processing computer, the Midi sound card configured to receive the first output signal; further comprising a Midi sound module operably coupled to the Midi sound card, the MIDI sound module configured to receive the first output signal from the sound card, process the first output signal, and transmit the output signal to the processing computer (pg 2, para. 29 and pg 5, para. 67; and figure 3B). Comair et al. do not disclose a lighting controller.


However, Airaudi et al. (claims 9, 13, and 20) disclose an apparatus, wherein the output component comprises a lighting controller (10) and at least one light and the action comprises displaying light at the at least one light (column 6, lines 35-42 and figure 5d).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Airaudi et al. with the apparatus of Comair et al., because the addition provides additional visual indications, which allows more tools for indicating action to the user.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marlon T. Fletcher whose telephone number is 571-272-2063. The examiner can normally be reached on M-W, F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on 571-272-2107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Marlon T. Fletcher
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2837

MTF
06/22/2005